20 DCCW2005/0376/F - VARIATION OF EXISTING CONDITION 4 OF CW03/0620/F TO ALLOW A VARIATION IN NOISE LEVELS AT GELPACK EXCELSIOR LTD, WESTFIELDS TRADING ESTATE, HEREFORD, HR4 9NT

For: Gelpack Excelsior Ltd. per Mr. A.W. Morris, 20 Ferndale Road, Kings Acre, Hereford, HR4 0RW

Date Received: 3rd February, 2005 Ward: Three Elms Grid Ref: 50193, 41151

Expiry Date: 31st March, 2005

Local Members: Councillors Mrs. P.A. Andrews, Mrs. S.P.A. Daniels and Ms. A.M. Toon

1. Site Description and Proposal

- 1.1 Gelpack Excelsior is located on the north side of Red Barn Drive at its junction with Faraday Road and the Westfields Trading Estate. The site backs onto residential property that fronts Grandstand Road
- 1.2 Planning permission is sought to amend Condition No. 4 attached to previous planning permission (CW2003/0620/F). This condition limits the noise level of six silos erected under that permission.

The condition in full states:

"The rating level of the noise emitted form the feed pipes and associated machinery/plant serving the six silos shall not exceed the existing background noise level of 45 dB LA90 by more than 3 dB. The noise level shall be determined a 1m from the rear facade of 99 Grandstand Road (including measurements at first floor level as close to 1m from the facade as possible) and all readings shall be taken in accordance with BS 4142:1997.

Reason: To protect the residential amenity of properties adjoining the northern site boundary."

1.3 The application seeks to increase the nighttime noise level to 51dB and the maximum daytime level of 58dB.

2. Policies

2.1 National:

PPG1 - General Principles

PPG4 - Industrial and Commercial Development and Small Firms

PPG24 - Planning and Noise

2.2 Hereford Local Plan:

Policy E2 - Established Employment Areas

Policy E7 - Development Proposals for Employment Purposes

Policy H12 - Established Residential Areas

Policy H21 - Compatibility of Non-Residential Uses

Policy H22 - Existing Non-Residential Uses

2.3 Herefordshire Unitary Development Plan (Revised Deposit Draft):

Policy DR1 - Design Policy DR13 - Noise

Policy E6 - Expansion of Existing Businesses

Policy E8 - Design Standards for Employment Sites

3. Planning History

3.1 CW2003/0620/F Erection of 6 no. storage silos on concrete base. Approved

03/09/03.

CW2000/0356/F Roof alterations to allow internal alterations to production area.

Approved 23/03/00.

CW2000/0357/F Change of use to provide parking for 23 cars - subject to a

Section 106 Agreement - not yet completed.

CW2002/1767/F Erection of six storage silos. Withdrawn 02/008/02.

4. Consultation Summary

Statutory Consultations

4.1 None.

Internal Council Advice

- 4.2 Traffic Manager no objection.
- 4.4 Head of Environmental Health and Trading Standards I can now confirm that I have considered this application and have also taken independent night time noise measurements to ensure that the condition is as accurate as possible. I therefore comment as follows:
 - 1. The background noise level at night in the nearest garden on the other side of Gelpack's fence was originally measured by Gelpack's consultant in January 2003 to be in the region of 48 dBLA90,(5 minutes). From discussions at that time, it was apparent that the measurements had not been taken at the location that I had requested (i.e. 1m from the rear facade) and therefore I took this into account and recalculated the the background level to be 45 dBLA90,(5 minutes). This was the basis behind the recommended noise condition in my memorandum of 2nd April 2003, which allowed the new silos/plant no more than 3dB above the recalculated background of 45dB, i.e. no more than 48dBLAeq at the facade of 99 Grandstand Road.

- 2. I understand that Gelpack's consultant then measured the noise originating from the newly installed silos / plant in July 2004 and found that Gelpack could not comply with the condition, as the measured noise level at 2.30 am was found to be 50dBLAeq (exceeding the planning condition by 2dB).
- 3. In order to substantiate this, I took independent noise measurements at the facade of 99 Grandstand Road at 0030 0045 on Friday 25th March 2005 and found the background level to be in the region of 47 dBLA90, (5 minutes) and representative noise from the factory and silos/plant to be in the region of 50dBLAeq. However, the noise from the factory appeared to be coming from the existing operations (i.e. extrusion and printing) and not from the screw auger feed system from the new silos.
- 4. Therefore, given that the noise at the facade of 99 Grandstand Road is unlikely to get below the existing noise of 50dBLAeq from the factory's extrusion and printing operations and as 47dB background + 3dB allowance = 50dB, it would be sensible to allow a variation of the condition to:

"The noise emitted from the feed pipes and associated machinery / plant serving the six silos shall not exceed 50dBLAeq. The noise level shall be determined at a location of 3.5 m from the rear facade of 99 Grandstand Road or 1m from the facade at first floor level. All readings shall be taken in accordance with BS 4142:1997".

If you wished to increase 50dBLAeq to 51dBLAeq in line with the applicant's request, I would have no objection, as this is negligible in terms of noise measurement and perception. However, the condition as offered above would allow Gelpack's immediate compliance, whilst ensuring that the performance of the noise attenuation work to the silos / feed system is always retained.

5. The daytime noise levels resulting from deliveries to the silos would stay protected as condition 3 would remain unchanged, i.e. no blowing of plastic beads/resin into silos between 1700 - 0830, nor on weekends and bank holidays. This is the noisiest activity associated with the silos. Likewise, the daytime noise levels resulting from the general operation of the screw auger feeds and motors serving the silos would also be regulated as the the noise attenuation design criteria for this plant would be the same at day as at night time.

5. Representations

- 5.1 Hereford City Council Hereford City Council has considered this planning application and recommends refusal as it cannot see that an application is warranted in terms of environment acceptability.
- 5.2 Eight letters of objection have been received, the main points raised are:
 - 1. We have put up with increasing noise levels over a number of years and this should now stop.
 - 2. This is a trading estate and not industrial where manufacturing should not take place.

- 3. The silos were to reduce deliveries to the factory, in fact what has happened is that the material now arrives in tankers which creates mor noise when they unload.
- 4. Since the silos have been erected we have had to endure extra volume of noise similar to hailstones on a tin roof.
- 5. The factory operates 24/7.
- 6. The constant noise impacts upon the amenities of residents not only in the houses but in the gardens.
- 7. Forklift trucks are a constant nuisance going back and forth from the old MEB Club car park.terial now arrives in tankers which creates more noise when the unload.

The full text of these letters can be inspected at Central Planning Services, Blueschool House, Blueschool Street, Hereford and prior to the Sub-Committee meeting.

6. Officers Appraisal

- 6.1 This planning application seeks to vary Condition No. 4 which limits the noise levels on the planning permission granted for the six silos on the Gelpack Excelsior site.
- 6.2 Members will note that the proposal has been extensively examined by the Council's Environmental Health and Trading Standard's Principal Environmental Health Officer (Air & Water) and his detailed conclusions are included within this report.
- 6.3 These conclusions identify that the noise level of the screw auger associated with the new silos is lower than the extrusion and printing process. Therefore, given that the noise at the façade of 99 Grandstand Road is unlikely to get below 50dB from the existing extrusion and printing it will be sensible to allow a variation of the condition. The Environmental Health and Trading Standards Officer recommends that the condition could increased to 51dB since this would not represent a materially different noise level to that requested by the applicant is increased to 50dB.
- 6.4 Members will note that the daytime noise is still protected by Condition No. 3 attached to the previous permission.
- 6.5 The residents' concerns are noted, however this proposal has been extensively examined by the Environmental Health and Trading Standards Officer who has visited the site and taken independent noise readings and considers that the proposal is acceptable.
- 6.6 Finally, it should be noted that this proposal is not to increase the existing noise emanating from the silos but to regularise the situation as they are currently operating above the noise level set by the condition.

RECOMMENDATION

That planning permission be granted subject to the following conditions:

 The noise emitted from the feed pipes and associated machinery/plant serving the six silos shall not exceed 50dBLAeq. The noise level shall be determined at a location of 3.5m from the rear facade of 99 Grandstand Road or 1m from the facade at first floor level. All readings shall be taken in accordance with BS 4142:1997.

Reason: In the interests of residential amenity.

2. The permission hereby granted is an amendment to planning permission CW2003/0620/F dated 3rd September 2003 and, otherwise than is altered by this permission, the development shall be carried out in accordance with that planning permission and the conditions attached thereto.

Reason: For the avoidance of doubt.

Informative:

1. N15 - Reason(s) for the Grant of PP/LBC/CAC.

Decision:	 	
Notes:	 	

Background Papers

Internal departmental consultation replies.